

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/614,699  | 07/08/2003     | Philip Nguyen        | 11657-11 7374<br>EXAMINER |                 |
| 1059 75   | 590 10/04/2005 |                      |                           |                 |
| BERESKIN AND PARR   |                |                      | COURSON, TANIA C          |                 |
| 40 KING STREET WEST<br>BOX 401<br>TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2<br>CANADA |                |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                |                      | 2859                      |                 |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005   |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary                                   |   | 10/614,699  | NGUYEN ET AL.  |  |
|   |   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |
|   |   | Tania C. Courson  | 2859   |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any i | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u><br>   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |   |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the orecast that are only including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct Theorem Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                       |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   | •  |  |
| 12)<br>a)[  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notic<br>3) 🔯 Inforr                               | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>06NOV03</u> .  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/614,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 21-22 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) claim 21 in line 1, "sho s" should read "shoes";
  - b) claim 21 in line 2, "scann r" should read "scanner";
  - c) Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: it has an improper dependency since it states in line 1, that it depends on claim 11, which is a method claim. For examination purposes, the examiner has assumed that it is dependent on claim 13.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dowdell (US 6,550,149 B2, 1<sup>st</sup> interpretation).

Dowdell discloses in Figures 1-18, a method and system for sizing feet comprising:

Art Unit: 2859

# With respect to method Claim 1:

- a) obtaining a digital image of the foot (column 10, lines 30-35 and Fig. 17);
- b) generating a trace outline of the foot from a digital image of the foot (column 10, lines 35-48);

Page 3

- c) deriving foot data from the trace outline (column 10, lines 60-67);
- d) comparing the foot data to corresponding data for a plurality of shoes and (column 11, lines 46-63);
- e) outputting an indicator of the best fitting shoe from among the plurality of shoes based on the results of the comparison (column 12, lines 55-63).

#### With respect to method Claim 7:

- a) obtaining a digital image of the foot (column 10, lines 30-35 and Fig. 17);
- b) generating a trace outline of the foot from a digital image of the foot (column 10, lines 35-48);
- c) deriving foot data from the trace outline (column 10, lines 60-67);
- d) outputting at least one of the foot data and an indicator of shoe size based on the foot data (column 11, lines 35-45).

## With respect to method Claims 2-6 and 8-12:

a) approximating at least one portion of the trace outline with at least one mathematical curve (column 12, lines 36-40);

Art Unit: 2859

b) storing data relating to the at least one mathematical curve for visually representing the at least one portion of the trace outline (column 12, lines 36-40);

Page 4

- c) wherein the step of deriving foot data comprises deriving a plurality of foot data points from the trace outline, and me at least one mathematical curve is derived to match the trace outline at any foot data points in the al least one portion of the trace outline (column 12, lines 36-40);
- d) wherein a heel portion of the trace outline is approximated by a half-ellipse (column 12, lines 36-40);
- e) wherein an inside arch portion of the trace outline is approximated by a B-spline curve (column 12, lines 36-40).

#### With respect to system Claims 13-19:

- a) a computer adapted to receive a digital image of the foot, the computer having stored thereon a software package, the software package including an image processing component, wherein the image processing component is adapted for receiving the digital image of the foot and producing a trace outline of the foot, the software package including a foot data component, wherein the foot data component is adapted to receive the trace outline and determine foot data from the trace outline (column 4, lines 9-34);
- b) wherein the software package has a comparator component and the comparator component is adapted to receive the foot data and generate, based

Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

on the foot data, at least one of an indicator of the shoe size of the foot and an indicator of the best fitting shoe from among a plurality of shoes (column 5, lines 4-10);

- c) wherein the computer is adapted to access a shoe information database, and
  the comparator component is adapted to compare the foot data with
  corresponding data for at least one shoe in the shoe information database (Fig.
  1)
- d) wherein the foot data comprises at least one of the foot length, the foot width, the metatarsal length and me heel width (Fig. 2b);
- e) wherein the foot data comprises all of the fool length, the foot width, the metatarsal length and the heel width (Fig. 2b);
- f) wherein the software package is adapted to store a representation of the trace outline on a computer readable memory (Fig. 1);
- g) further comprising an input device for generating the digital image of the foot, wherein the input device is connected to the computer (Fig. 1).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

....

5. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dowdell (1<sup>st</sup> interpretation) in view of Dowdell (2<sup>nd</sup> interpretation).

Page 6

Dowdell (1<sup>st</sup> interpretation) discloses a method and system for sizing feet, as stated above in paragraph 3.

Dowdell (1<sup>st</sup> interpretation) discloses wherein a computer is a shoe sizing computer (Fig. 1, 20), an input device is a second computer (Fig. 1) and the second computer is connected to the shoe sizing computer (Fig. 1) and wherein the second computer is connected to the shoe sizing computer via the Internet (Fig. 1).

Dowdell (1<sup>st</sup> interpretation) does not disclose an input device is a scanner and the scanner is connected to a computer.

Dowdell (2<sup>nd</sup> interpretation) teaches a method and system for sizing feet that consists of an input device is a scanner (Fig. 11) and the scanner is connected to a computer (Fig. 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method and system for sizing feet of Dowdell (1<sup>st</sup> interpretation), so as to include a scanner and connecting the scanner to a computer, as taught by Dowdell (2<sup>nd</sup> interpretation), so as to provide a greater enhancement in remote connectivity to the user during use of the system.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2859

The prior art cited on PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose a method and system for sizing feet:

Mathiasmeier et al. (US 6,029,358)

Mathiasmeier et al. (US 5,729,905)

Brown et al. (US 5,659,395)

Smith (US 5,539,677)

Brown et al. (US 5,361,133)

White (US 5,237,520)

Thies et al. (US 5,206,804)

White (US 5,128, 880)

Brooks (US 2004/0081336 A1)

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tania C. Courson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez, can be reached on (571) 272-2245.

The fax number for this Organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2859

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 2859

TCC September 30, 2005